

Wealth-Based Evolution Model for the Internet AS-Level Topology

Xiaoming Wang

Joint work with Dmitri Loguinov

Internet Research Lab
Computer Science Department
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77802


April 26, 2006

Agenda

- Introduction
 - Topology modeling
 - Metrics
- Background
 - Preferential attachment
 - Optimization-based method
- Wealth-based Internet Topology (WIT)
 - Power-law degree distribution
 - High clustering
 - Simulations
- Wrap-up


Introduction – Internet AS Structure

- The Internet is a network of *Autonomous Systems (AS)*



Introduction – Internet AS Structure

- The Internet is a network of *Autonomous Systems (AS)*
- Graph representation:
 - AS \rightarrow node
 - Peering relationship \rightarrow edge




Introduction – Internet AS Structure


- The Internet is a network of *Autonomous Systems* (AS)
- Graph representation:
 - AS \rightarrow node
 - Peering relationship \rightarrow edge
- Two goals of topology models
 - Construct random graphs that resemble the Internet AS-level structure
 - Understand principles that govern Internet evolution

Modeling Internet Topology – Application

- Topology modeling provides a convenient way to evaluate network protocols
 - Congestion control, QoS and security design, etc.
- How accurately can we mimic the Internet's topology?




Modeling Internet Topology – Metrics



- Faloutsos 1999
 - Noticed power-law degree distribution of the Internet

$$P(d_i > x) = (x/\beta)^{-\alpha}$$


Modeling Internet Topology – Metrics



- Measures how frequently neighbors of a node are connected

$$\gamma_i = \frac{\# \text{ triangles}}{\# \text{ possible triangles}}$$

Modeling Internet Topology – Metrics



- Define h_i as the average shortest path length from node i to all other nodes


$$L = \text{median}_i \{h_i\}$$

Methodology – Evolutionary View

- Previous efforts evaluate graph models by their **static** structure
 - Generate a graph of fixed size
 - Compare it with the Internet structure
 - Omit what happens during construction
- A topology model could match the Internet structure at a specific time
 - As time elapses, the match might degrade
- Solution
 - Take an **evolutionary** view

Methodology – Evolutionary View


- Consider an algorithm A
 - Incremental growth
 - Graph $G(t)$'s properties as functions of t





Agenda

- Introduction
 - Topology modeling
 - Metrics
- Background
 - Preferential attachment
 - Optimization-based method
- Wealth-based Internet Topology (WIT)
 - Power-law degree distribution
 - High clustering
 - Simulations
- Wrap-up

Background – Two Major Theories





Background – Preferential Attachment



- Large-degree nodes are more attractive
- $p_i(t)$: probability of choosing node i as a neighbor at time t

$$p_i(t) = \frac{d_i(t)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n(t)} d_k(t)}$$



Background – Preferential Attachment



- Large-degree nodes are more attractive
- $p_i(t)$: probability of choosing node i as a neighbor at time t

$$p_i(t) = \frac{d_i(t) + 1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n(t)} (d_k(t) + 1)}$$



Background – Preferential Attachment



- Large-degree nodes are more attractive
- $p_i(t)$: probability of choosing node i as a neighbor at time t

$$p_i(t) = \frac{d_i(t) - \lambda}{\sum_{k=1}^{n(t)} (d_k(t) - \lambda)}$$

Background – Optimization



- f_i : cost of node i
- Choose i with minimal f_i to build link with
- r_{ij} - geographical distance
 h_i - average shortest path length

$$f_i(t) = \theta r_{ij} + h_i \quad (\theta > 0)$$

Limitations


- Preferential attachment
 - Too much emphasis on ISP popularity
 - No awareness of other factors
 - Geographic location, technical feasibility, business strategies, economic considerations, etc.
- HOT
 - Lack of mutuality
 - No economic basis
- Both require global knowledge
 - Do not explain how the Internet could have achieved its current state using decentralized actions of ISPs

Agenda

- Introduction
 - Topology modeling
 - Metrics
- Background
 - Preferential attachment
 - Optimization-based method
- **Wealth-based Internet Topology (WIT)**
 - Power-law degree distribution
 - High clustering
 - Simulations
- Wrap-up

WIT Overview

- Two elements in topology generation
 - What determines degree?
 - How to find neighbors?
- WIT provides two paradigms
 - Wealth evolution
 - Random walks



What Determines ISP Degree?

- Tangmunarunkit [2001]
 - Observed that ISP size (# of routers) follows a power-law
 - Showed that AS size is correlated with its degree
- Economics
 - Great wealth implies large size
- Pareto [1897]
 - Individual/company wealth is power-law distributed
- To some extent, wealth determines degree

Wealth Determines Degree

- This correlation can be explained by many factors
 - Cost of link maintenance, customer pressure, QoS objectives, etc.
- Stochastic multiplicative process from economics
$$w_i(t) = \lambda_i(t)w_i(t - 1)$$
 - $w_i(t)$: wealth of ISP i at time t
 - $\lambda_i(t)$: randomness in income
 - Initial wealth $w_i(\text{join time}) = s$
 - Bankruptcy condition z ($z > s$)
 - Once $w_i(t) < z$, ISP i is removed from the system

WIT Results – Wealth and Degree

- Theorem 1: If $E[\log \lambda_i] < 0$, WIT's wealth is power-law distributed with exponent


$$\alpha \approx \frac{1}{1 - \xi} \quad (1)$$

where $\xi = s/z \in (0,1)$


- Theorem 2: By keeping degree proportional to wealth, WIT produces power-law degree distributions with the same exponent α as in (1)

WIT Simulations – Degree Distribution


$\xi = 0.2$



$\xi = 0.4$




WIT Simulations – Degree Distribution




How to Find Neighbors?


- The Internet evolves in a distributed fashion
 - ISPs make decisions based on **local information**
 - PA and HOT require **global knowledge**
- The ISP market is a large social network
 - Discover new neighbors through existing links
 - Preserve geographic locality
- WIT uses random walks to model attachment decisions



WIT Simulations – Clustering




WIT




Internet

WIT Simulations – Path Length



WIT



Internet

Wrap-up

- Evolutionary view allows a more appropriate comparison of graph models
- Wealth-based Internet Topology (WIT)
 - Provides an alternative theory for the Internet evolution
 - Simulation results show its viability

Wrap-up

- Additional results in the paper and technical report
 - Clustering of BA, AB, and GLP **decreases**
 - HOT has a **very** high characteristic path length that **linearly** increases over time
 - WIT is more accurate than the existing methods using additional metrics
 - Spectrum analysis
 - Assortativity